## **Seattle Waterfront Advisory Team**

September 22, 2004, 3:00 to 5:00 pm Boards and Commissions Room, Room L280, Seattle City Hall 600 Fourth Avenue (Please enter on 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue)

## **Proposed Agenda**

- 1. Introductions (3:00pm, 5 minutes)
- 2. Center City Strategy and the Central Waterfront (3:05pm, 25 minutes)

John Rahaim will lead the Waterfront Advisory Team in a discussion of the relationship between the Center City Strategy and the Central Waterfront Plan.

3. Central Waterfront Objectives and Concept Alternatives (3:30pm, 45 minutes)

Nora Daley of Otak will present the objectives and concept alternatives generated by the Waterfront interdepartmental teams. The concept alternatives will be organized as follows:

The commonalities between the three concept alternatives in terms of:

- 1. Land use intensity
- 2. Public open space
- 3. Mobility/circulation patterns
- 4. Ecology and habitat
- 5. Opportunities for creative projects
- 6. Waterfront character

The differences between the three concept alternatives in terms of:

- 1. Land use intensity
- 2. Public open space
- 3. Mobility/circulation patterns
- 4. Ecology and habitat
- 5. Opportunities for creative projects
- 6. Waterfront character

The focus of the WAT's discussion will be on the conflicts posed by the three concept alternatives.

4. Developing a preferred Concept Alternative (4:15pm, 45 minutes)

After discussing the conflicts related to the three concept alternatives, the focus of the WAT's discussion here will be on advising staff as to what elements should be considered in developing a preferred concept alternative. The preferred concept will be an important part of the draft concept plan that we would like to have ready by January, 2005. Questions for the WAT to address are:

City of Seattle Dept. of Planning and Development Waterfront Advisory Team Agenda, Sept. 22, 2004

- What should be the preferred approach to creating open space on the Waterfront?
- How do we balance shoreline ecology, public access and transportation in the preferred concept? Which should be given priority?
- Should the preferred concept include new over-water development? Under what circumstances and where?
- Should the preferred concept include major land use changes in the upland areas? What should these be?
- 5. Adjourn (5:00pm)